

|   |   |              |          |          |           |               |       |              |   |
|---|---|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------------|---|
| 0 | 5 | $u_n(x)$     | $T_1(x)$ | $S_1(x)$ | $e^{ix}$  | $e^{inx}$     | $k$   | simplement   | + |
| 1 | 6 | $u_{n+1}(x)$ | $T_2(x)$ | $S_2(x)$ | $e^{2ix}$ | $e^{i(n+1)x}$ | $k^2$ | uniformément | / |
| 2 | 7 | $u_{n+2}(x)$ |          |          | $e^{3ix}$ | $e^{i(n+2)x}$ | $k^3$ | vraie        |   |

Question 1 (r  currence)

Question 1 (suite)

Question 2

Question 2 (suite)

D'une part  $u_0(x) = 0$  et d'autre part, pour tout  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$u_{n+1}(x) - u_n(x) = (e^{i(n+2)x} - e^{i(n+1)x}) / (e^{ix} - 1) ;$$

$$\text{comme } e^{i(n+2)x} - e^{i(n+1)x} = e^{i(n+1)x} (e^{ix} - 1),$$

$$\text{il vient : } u_{n+1}(x) - u_n(x) = e^{i(n+1)x}. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{De (1) on tire } u_1(x) = e^{ix} \text{ et } u_2(x) = e^{ix} + e^{2ix}$$

$$\text{on en d  duit que } (\mathcal{R}_2) \text{ est vraie car d'une part } S_2(x) = (e^{ix} + e^{2ix}) / 2$$

$$\text{et d'autre part } T_2(x) = e^{ix} / 2 \text{ et } u_2(x) / 2 = (e^{ix} + e^{2ix}) / 2.$$

delete item

clear answer

add answer

del answer

up down

student note

author